

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,047	07/16/2003		Mihir Y. Sambhus	03226.428001	2269	
32615	7590	07/21/2006		EXAMINER		
OSHA LIA 1221 MCKI			DEBROW,	DEBROW, JAMES J		
HOUSTON,				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2176		
				DATE MAILED, 0701000	DATE MAIL ED: 07/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/622,047	SAMBHUS ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
James J. Debrow	2176

	James J. Debrow	2176	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must of the final rejection. dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fortheater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Clust be filed within one in the final rejection, who gate of the final rejection.	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, of	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment to the proposed further correctly the proposed filed after a final rejection, to the proposed further correctly the proposed filed after a final rejection, to the proposed filed after a final rejection, to the proposed further correctly the proposed filed after a final rejection, to the proposed filed after a final rejection, to the proposed further correctly the proposed filed after a final rejection, to the proposed filed after a filed filed after a filed after a filed after a filed filed after a filed af	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	:		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	do(s)	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues Leamon does not teach the concept of non-rendering providers. The Examiner disagrees because even though the content provided by the provider is transformed into a standard markup language, it is inherent that a non-rendering process exist in order to identify the specific device type requested for displaying content a non-rendering provider in order to customize the content for a particular device.

Applicant argues there is no indication anwhere in Leamon of the content being aggregated. The Examiner disagrees. As specified with the specification, page 11, 2nd pargraph, "the Aggregator can send AML Document to rendering Engine for conversion in Device-specific markup". Leamon consistantly discloses the "content" is formated/customized for a particular device.

DougHutton Primary Examiner Tech Center 2100